arXiv:1401.0964v3 [math.NT] 26 Jan 2014

POLYNOMIAL VALUES IN SMALL SUBGROUPS OF FINITE FIELDS

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

ABSTRACT. For a large prime p, and a polynomial f over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of p elements, we obtain a lower bound on the size of the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ containing $H \geq 1$ consecutive values $f(x)$, $x = u+1, \ldots, u+H$, uniformly over $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ and an $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** For a prime p, we use $\mathbb{F}_p$ to denote the finite field of p elements, which we always assume to be represented by the set $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.

For a rational function $r(X) = f(X)/g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p(X)$ with two relatively primes polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ and an set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, we use $r(\mathcal{S})$ to denote the value set

$$r(\mathcal{S}) = \{r(x) \ : \ x \in \mathcal{S}, \ g(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Given for two sets $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, we consider the size of the intersection of $r(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{T}$, that is,

$$N_r(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \# \left(r(\mathcal{S}) \cap \mathcal{T}\right).$$

Here, we are mostly interested in studying $N_r(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ for an interval $\mathcal{I}$ of several consecutive integers and a multiplicative subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$.

We also use $T_r(H)$ to denote the smallest possible T such that there is an interval $\mathcal{I} = \{u+1, \ldots, u+H\}$ of H consecutive integers and a multiplicative subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ of order T for which

$$r(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$$

and thus $N_r(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) = \#r(\mathcal{I})$.

It is shown in [16] that if $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$, then for any interval $\mathcal{I} = \{u+1, \ldots, u+H\}$ of H consecutive integers and a subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ of order T, the quantity $N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is "small".

Date: January 28, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D79, 11T06.

Key words and phrases. polynomial congruences, finite fields.

To formulate the result precisely we recall that the notations $U = O(V)$, $U \ll V$ and $V \gg U$ are all equivalent to the inequality $|U| \le cV$ with some constant $c > 0$. Throughout the paper, the implied constants in these symbols may occasionally depend, where obvious, on degrees and the number of variables of various polynomials, but are absolute otherwise. We also use $o(1)$ to denote a quantity that tends to zero when one of the indicated parameters (usually H or p) tends to infinity.

Then, by the bound of [16], for a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ of degree $d \ge 2$, we have

$$N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \ll (1 + H^{(d+1)/4} p^{-1/4d}) H^{1/2d} T^{1/2}. \tag{1}$$

In particular, the bound (1) implies that

$$T_f(H) \gg \min\{H^{2-1/d}, H^{-(d-1)(d-2)/2d} p^{1/2d}\}. \tag{2}$$

For a linear fractional function

$$r(X) = a\frac{X+s}{X+t}$$

with $s \not\equiv t \pmod p$, the bound of [3, Lemma 35] implies that there is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that if for some positive integer ν we have

$$H \ge p^{c\nu^{-4}}$$

then

$$T_r(H) \gg H^{\nu + o(1)}, \tag{3}$$

as $H \to \infty$. For larger values of H, by [3, Bound (29)] we have

$$N_r(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \le \left(1 + H^{3/4} p^{-1/4}\right) T^{1/2} p^{o(1)},$$

as $p \to \infty$. Thus

$$T_r(H) \ge \min\{H^2, H^{1/2} p^{1/2}\} p^{o(1)},$$

A series of other upper bounds on $N_r(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ and its multivariate generalisations, for various sets and $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ (such as intervals, subgroups, zero-sets of algebraic varieties and their Cartesian products) and functions r, including multivariate functions, are given in [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 20].

1.2. **Our results.** Here we use the methods of [3], based on an application effective Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, see [14, 19], to obtain a variant of the bound of (3) for polynomials and thus to improve (2) for small values of H.

Furthermore, combining some ideas from [16] with a bound on the number on integer points on quadrics (which replaces the bound of Bombieri and Pila [1] in the argument of [16]), we improve (1) for quadratic polynomials. In fact, this argument stems from that of Cilleruelo and Garaev [11].

2. Preparations

2.1. **Effective Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.** We recall that the logarithmic height of a nonzero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \ldots, Z_n]$ is defined as the maximum logarithm of the largest (by absolute value) coefficient of P.

Our argument uses the following quantitative version version of effective Hilbert's Nullstellensatz due to Krick, Pardo and Sombra [19, Theorem 1].

Lemma 1. *Let $P_1, \ldots, P_N \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \ldots, Z_n]$ be $N \geq 1$ polynomials in n variables without a common zero in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of degree at most $D \geq 3$ and of logarithmic height at most H. Then there is a positive integer b with*

$$\log b \leq 4n(n+1)D^n \left(H + \log N + nD \log(n+1)\right)$$

and polynomials $R_1, \ldots, R_N \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \ldots, Z_n]$ such that

$$P_1R_1 + \ldots + P_NR_N = b.$$

We note that [19, Theorem 1] gives explicit estimates on all other parameters as well (that is, on the heights and degrees of the polynomials $R_1, \ldots, R_N$), see also [14].

2.2. **Some facts on algebraic integers.** We also need a bound of Chang [5, Proposition 2.5] on the divisor function in algebraic number fields. As usual, for algebraic number field $\mathbb{K}$ we use $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$ to denote the ring of integers.

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $k = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$. For any nonzero algebraic integer $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$ of logarithmic height at most $H \geq 2$, the number of pairs (γ_1, γ_2) of algebraic integers $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$ of logarithmic height at most H with $\gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2$ is at most $\exp\left(O(H/\log H)\right)$, where the implied constant depends on k.*

Finally, as in [3], we use the following result, this is exactly the statement that is established in the proof of [5, Lemma 2.14], see [5, Equation (2.15)].

Lemma 3. *Let $P_1, \ldots, P_N, P \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \ldots, Z_n]$ be $N+1 \geq 2$ polynomials in n variables of degree at most D and of logarithmic height at most $H \geq 1$. If the zero-set*

$$P_1(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = \ldots = P_N(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) \neq 0$$

is not empty then it has a point $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ in an extension $\mathbb{K}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq C_1(D, n)$ such that their minimal polynomials are of logarithmic height at most $C_2(D, N, n)H$, where $C_1(D, n)$ depends only on D, n and $C_2(D, N, n)$ depends only on D, N and n.

2.3. **Integral points on quadrics.** The following bound on the number of integral points on quadrics is given in [18, Lemma 3].

Lemma 4. *Let*

$$G(X, Y) = AX^2 + BXY + CY^2 + DX + EY + F \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

be an irreducible quadratic polynomial with coefficients of size at most H. Assume that $G(X, Y)$ is not affinely equivalent to a parabola $Y = X^2$ and has a nonzero determinant

$$\Delta = B^2 - 4AC \neq 0.$$

Then, as $H \to \infty$, the equation $G(x, y) = 0$ has at most $H^{o(1)}$ integral solutions $(x, y) \in [0, H] \times [0, H]$.

2.4. **Small values of linear functions.** We need a result about small values of residues modulo p of several linear functions. Such a result has been derived in [13, Lemma 3.2] from the Dirichlet pigeon-hole principle. Here use a slightly more precise and explicit form of this result which is derived in [15] from the *Minkowski theorem*, see also [16].

For an integer a we use $\langle a \rangle_p$ to denote the smallest by absolute value residue of a modulo p, that is

$$\langle a \rangle_p = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a - kp|.$$

Lemma 5. *For any real numbers $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ with*

$$p > V_1, \ldots, V_m \geq 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_1 \ldots V_m > p^{m-1}$$

and integers $b_1, \ldots, b_m$, there exists an integer v with $\gcd(v, p) = 1$ such that

$$\langle b_i v \rangle_p \leq V_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

3. Main Results

3.1. **Arbitrary polynomials.** For a set $\mathcal{A}$ in an arbitrary semi-group, we use $\mathcal{A}^{(\nu)}$ to denote the ν-fold product set, that is

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\nu)} = \{a_1 \dots a_\nu \ : \ a_1, \dots, a_\nu \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

First we note that in order to get a lower bound on $T_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ it is enough to give a lower bound on the cardinality of $f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)}$ for any integer $\nu \ge 1$.

Theorem 6. *For every positive integer ν there is a constant $c(\nu) > 0$ depending only on ν such that for any polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ of degree $d \ge 1$ and interval $\mathcal{I}$ of*

$$H \le c(\nu) p^{1/4d(d+1)\nu^{d+1}}$$

consecutive integers, we have

$$\# f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)} \ge H^{\nu + o(1)},$$

as $H \to \infty$.

Proof. Clearly, we can assume that

$$f(X) = X^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_{d-k} X^k$$

is monic.

It is also clear that we can assume that $\mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, H\}$.

We consider the collection $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_d]$ of polynomials

$$P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(Z_1, \dots, Z_d) = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(x_i^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} Z_{d-k} x_i^k \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(y_i^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} Z_{d-k} y_i^k \right),$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\nu)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\nu)$ are integral vectors with entries in $[1, H]$ and such that

$$P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv 0 \pmod p.$$

Note that

$$P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} f(x_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} f(y_i) \pmod p.$$

Clearly if $P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is identical to zero then, by the uniqueness of polynomial factorisation in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_d]$, the components of $\mathbf{y}$ are permutations of those of $\mathbf{x}$. So in this case we obviously obtain

$$\# f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)} \ge \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\# f(\mathcal{I}) \right)^\nu \gg H^\nu.$$

Hence, we now assume that $\mathcal{P}$ contains non-zero polynomials.

Note that every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is of degree at most ν and of logarithmic height at most $\nu \log H + O(1)$.

We take a family $\mathcal{P}_0$ containing the largest possible number

$$N \le (\nu+1)^d$$

of linearly independent polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_N \in \mathcal{P}$, and consider the variety

$$\mathcal{V}: \ \{(Z_1, \ldots, Z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \ : \ P_1(Z_1, \ldots, Z_d) = \ldots = P_N(Z_1, \ldots, Z_d) = 0\}.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$. Then by Lemma 1 we see that there are polynomials $R_1, \ldots, R_N \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \ldots, Z_d]$ and a positive integer b with

$$\log b \le 4d(d+1)\nu^d(\nu \log h + O(1)) \le 4d(d+1)\nu^{d+1} \log h + O(1) \tag{4}$$

and such that

$$P_1R_1 + \ldots + P_NR_N = b \tag{5}$$

Substituting

$$(Z_1, \ldots, Z_d) = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$$

in (5), we see that the left hand side of (5) is divisible by p. Since $b \ge 1$ we obtain $p \le b$. Taking an appropriately small values of $c(\nu)$ in the condition of the theorem, we see from (4) that this is impossible.

Therefore the variety $\mathcal{V}$ is nonempty. Applying Lemma 3 we see that it has a point $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)$ with components of logarithmic height $O(\log h)$ in an extension $\mathbb{K}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = O(1)$.

Consider the maps $\Phi: \ \mathcal{I}^\nu \to \mathbb{F}_p$ given by

$$\Phi: \ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_\nu) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} f(x_j)$$

and $\Psi : \mathcal{I}^\nu \to \mathbb{K}$ given by

$$\Psi: \ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_\nu) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \left(x_i^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_{d-k} x_i^k \right).$$

By construction of $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)$ we have that if $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{y})$ then

$$P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

thus $P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(Z_1, \ldots, Z_d) \in \mathcal{P}$. Recalling the definitions of the family $\mathcal{P}_0$ and of $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)$, we see that $P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d) = 0$. Hence $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \Psi(\mathbf{y})$. We now conclude that for every $\mathbf{x}$ the multiplicity of the value $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ in the image set $\mathrm{Im}\Phi$ of the map Φ is at most the multiplicity of the value $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ in the image set $\mathrm{Im}\Psi$ of the map Ψ. Thus,

$$\#f(\mathcal{I})^{(\nu)} = \#\mathrm{Im}\Phi \ge \#\mathrm{Im}\Psi = \#\mathcal{C}^{(\nu)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ x^d + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_{d-k} x^d \ : \ 1 \le x \le H \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{K}.$$

Using Lemma 2, we conclude that $\#\mathcal{C}^{(\nu)} \ge H^{\nu+o(1)}$, as $H \to \infty$, and derive the result. □

3.2. **Quadratic polynomials.** For quadratic square-free polynomials f using Lemma 4 instead of the bound of Bombieri and Pila [1] in the argument of [16] we immediately obtain the following result.

Theorem 7. *Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ be a square-free quadratic polynomial. For any interval $\mathcal{I}$ of H consecutive integers and a subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ of order T, we have*

$$N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \le \left(1 + H^{3/4} p^{-1/8}\right) T^{1/2} p^{o(1)},$$

as $H \to \infty$.

Proof. We follow closely the argument of [16]. We can assume that

$$H \le cp^{1/2} \tag{6}$$

for some constant $c > 0$ as otherwise the desired bound is weaker than the trivial estimate

$$N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \le \min\{H, T\} \le H^{1/2} T^{1/2}.$$

Making the transformation $X \mapsto X + u$ we reduce the problem to the case where $\mathcal{I} = \{1, \ldots, H\}$.

Let $1 \le x_1 < \ldots < x_k \le H$ be all $k = N_f(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ values of $x \in \mathcal{I}$ with $f(x) \in \mathcal{G}$.

Let $f(X) = a_0 X^d + a_1 X + a_2$, $a_0 \ne 0$.

Let us consider the quadric

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\lambda(X, Y) &= f(X) - \lambda f(Y) = \\ &= a_0 X^2 - \lambda a_0 Y^2 + a_1 X - \lambda a_1 Y + a_2(1 - \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

One easily verifies that $Q_\lambda(X, Y)$ is irreducible for $\lambda \ne 1$.

We see that there are only at most $2k$ pairs (x_i, x_j), $1 \le i, j \le r$, for which $f(x_i)/f(x_j) = 1$. Indeed, if x_j is fixed, then $f(x_i)$ is also fixed, and thus x_i can take at most 2 values.

We now assume that $k \ge 4$ as otherwise there is nothing to prove. Therefore, there is $\lambda \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{1\}$ such that

$$f(x) \equiv \lambda f(y) \pmod{p} \tag{8}$$

for at least

$$\frac{k^2 - 2k}{T} \ge \frac{k^2}{2T} \tag{9}$$

pairs (x, y) with $x, y \in \{1, \ldots, H\}$.

We now apply Lemma 5 with $s = 4$,

$$b_1 = a_0 \quad b_2 = -\lambda a_0, \quad b_3 = a_1, \quad b_4 = -\lambda a_1$$

and

$$V_1 = V_2 = 2p^{3/4}H^{-1/2}, \qquad V_3 = V_4 = 2p^{3/4}H^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$V_1V_2V_3V_4 = 16p^3 > p^3.$$

We also assume that the constant c in (6) is small enough so the condition

$$V_i \le 2p^{3/4}H^{1/2} < p, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, 4,$$

is satisfied. Note that

$$V_1H^2 = V_2H^2 = V_3H = V_4H = 2p^{3/4}H^{3/2}. \tag{10}$$

Let $F(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ be the quadric with coefficients in the interval $[-p/2, p/2]$, obtained by reducing $vQ_\lambda(X, Y)$ modulo p. Clearly (8) implies

$$F(x, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \tag{11}$$

Furthermore, since for $x, y \in \{1, \ldots, H\}$, recalling (8), we see from (10) and the trivial estimate on the constant coefficient (that is, $|F(0, 0)| \le p/2$) that

$$|F(x, y)| \le 8p^{3/4}H^{3/2} + p/2,$$

which together with (11) implies that

$$F(x, y) - zp = 0 \tag{12}$$

for some integer $z \ll 1 + H^{3/2}p^{-1/4}$.

Clearly, for any integer z the reducibility of $F(X, Y) - pz$ over $\mathbb{C}$ implies the reducibility of $F(X, Y)$ and then in turn of $Q_\lambda(X, Y)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, which is impossible as $\lambda \ne 1$. Hence, Lemma 4 implies that, as $p \to \infty$, for every z the equation (12) has $p^{o(1)}$ solutions. Thus the congruence (8) has at most $\left(1 + H^{3/2}p^{-1/4}\right) p^{o(1)}$ solutions. Together with (9), this yields the inequality

$$\frac{k^2}{2T} \le \left(1 + H^{3/2}p^{-1/4}\right) p^{o(1)},$$

and concludes the proof. □

Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to Domingo Gómez-Pérez for discussions and very helpful comments.

This work was finished during a very enjoyable research stay of the author at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn.

During the preparation of this paper the author was supported by the Australian Research Council Grant DP130100237.

References

[1] E. Bombieri and J. Pila, 'The number of integral points on arcs and ovals', *Duke Math. J.*, **59** (1989), 337–357.

[2] J. Bourgain, 'On the distribution of the residues of small multiplicative subgroups of $\mathbb{F}_p$', *Israel J. Math.*, **172** (2009), 61–74.

[3] J. Bourgain, M. Z. Garaev, S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, 'On the hidden shifted power problem', *SIAM J. Comp.*, **41** (2012), 1524–1557.

[4] J. Bourgain, M. Z. Garaev, S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Multiplicative congruences with variables from short intervals', *J. d'Analyse Math.*, (to appear).

[5] M.-C. Chang, 'Factorization in generalized arithmetic progressions and applications to the Erdős-Szemerédi sum-product problems', *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **13** (2003), 720–736.

[6] M.-C. Chang, 'Order of Gauss periods in large characteristic', *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **17** (2013), 621–628.

[7] M.-C. Chang, 'Elements of large order in prime finite fields', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **88** (2013), 169–176.

[8] M.-C. Chang, 'Sparsity of the intersection of polynomial images of an interval', *Preprint*, 2013.

[9] M.-C. Chang, J. Cilleruelo, M. Z. Garaev, J. Hernández, I. E. Shparlinski and A. Zumalacárregui, 'Points on curves in small boxes and applications', *Preprint*, 2011 (available from `http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.1543`).

[10] M.-C. Chang, B. Kerr, I. E. Shparlinski and U. Zannier, 'Elements of large order on varieties over prime finite fields', *Preprint*, 2013.

[11] J. Cilleruelo and M. Z. Garaev, 'Concentration of points on two and three dimensional modular hyperbolas and applications', *Geom. and Funct. Anal.*, **21** (2011), 892–904.

[12] J. Cilleruelo, M. Z. Garaev, A. Ostafe and I. E. Shparlinski, 'On the concentration of points of polynomial maps and applications', *Math. Zeit.*, **272** (2012), 825–837.

[13] J. Cilleruelo, I. E. Shparlinski and A. Zumalacárregui, 'Isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over a finite field in some thin families', *Math. Res. Letters*, **19** (2012), 335–343.

[14] C. D'Andrea, T. Krick and M. Sombra, 'Heights of varieties in multiprojective spaces and arithmetic Nullstellensätze', *Annales Sci. de l'ENS* (to appear).

[15] D. Gómez-Pérez and J. Gutierrez, 'On the linear complexity and lattice test of nonlinear pseudorandom number generators', *Preprint* , 2013

[16] D. Gómez-Pérez and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Subgroups generated by polynomials in finite fields', *Preprint*, 2013 (available from `http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.7378`).

[17] B. Kerr, 'Solutions to polynomial congruences in well shaped sets', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **88** (2013), 435–447.

[18] S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, 'On convex hull of points on modular hyperbolas', *Moscow J. Comb. and Number Theory*, **1** (2011), 43–51.

[19] T. Krick, L. M. Pardo, and M. Sombra, 'Sharp estimates for the arithmetic Nullstellensatz', *Duke Math. J.*, **109** (2001), 521–598.

[20] I. E. Shparlinski, 'Groups generated by iterations of polynomials over finite fields', *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, (to appear).

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

E-mail address: `igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au`